1 Steven R. Houbeck, Esq. #168018 2 Attorney at Law P.O. Box 150 Cardiff, CA 92007 3 619-463-4357 4 Attorney for Debtor 5 6 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 7 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 In re: 10 DONALD R. SOUCY 11 12 13

OPPOSITION AND OBJECTION TO THE UST'S EX PARTE MOTION IS MADE ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:

1. Movant fails to assert grounds to justify ex parte relief in lieu of noticed motion.

Case # 08-04626-T13

DOCUMENTS

Date: Time:

Dept:

OPPOSITION TO EX PARTE MOTION FOR ORDER

AUTHORIZING EXAM UNDER FRBP 2004, AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF

- 2. Movant fails to show there is good cause for taking the requested discovery pursuant to In re Wilcher, 56 Bank. at 434.
- 3. Said request exceeds the scope and purpose of FRBP 2004. "Such an examination, however, cannot be 'used for the purposes of abuse or harassment' and it 'cannot stray into matters which are not relevant to the basic inquiry." (In re W&S Investments, Inc. 985 F.2d 577, 1993 US App 9th Cir.) Movant's purpose is to delve into attorney client confidentiality and interfere with the attorney client relationship and privilege as well as attorney work product privilege. Movant fails to cite any case law justifying such an unprecedented use and abuse of the 2004 exam process.

//

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Case 08-04626-L113 Filed 08/25/09 Doc 83 Pg. 2 of 2
1	
2	
3	Respectfully Submitted,
4	
5	/s/Steven R. Houbeck, Esq. Steven R. Houbeck, Esq. Attorney for Debtor
6	Attorney for Debtor
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 2 -